

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK

1425 K Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202)783-6040
Facsimile: (202)783-6031

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE: November 5, 2002
TO: Examiner Jeffrey C. Pwu
USPTO Group 3624
FAX NO: 703-305-7658
FROM: Vincent M. DeLuca
RE: Serial No. 09/373,786

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2002

GROUP 3600

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICES. THANK YOU.

Number of Pages Including This Transmittal Sheet: 10

MESSAGE, IF ANY:

Please see attached Supplement to Brief on Appeal. (in triplicate).

#11. w
11-7-02

2449-103A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of)	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT
)	APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
James P. LEISTENSNIDER et al.)	
)	Appeal No.:
Serial No. 09/373,786)	
)	Examiner: Jeffrey C. Pwu
Filed: August 13, 1999)	
)	Group Art Unit: 3624
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR)	
CREATING A PORTFOLIO)	November 5, 2002
OF STOCK EQUITIES BASED)	
ON MARKET CAPITALIZATION)	

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2002

SUPPLEMENT TO BRIEF ON APPEAL

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

GROUP 360

Dear Sir:

This is a supplement to the Brief on Appeal filed July 29, 2002, responsive to the Notice re "Defective" Appeal Brief mailed on October 31, 2002. Appellants traverse the Notice to the extent that it may be interpreted to require that a new complete brief be filed in triplicate, as Appellants maintain that the Brief as filed fully complies with all the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.192(c), and thus is not defective. However, the following supplement is provided solely in response to the request for clarification of the "Grouping of Claims" statement in the Brief.

ISSUES

This appeal presents the following issues for decision by the Board:

1) Whether claims 1, 4 and 7 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Shaughnessy, U.S. Patent No. 5,978,778, and are properly rejected on that basis;

2) Whether claims 2, 5 and 8 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over O'Shaughnessy and are properly rejected on that basis; and

3) Whether claims 3, 6 and 9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over O'Shaughnessy in view of Bloom, U.S. Patent No. 6,061,663 and are properly rejected on that basis.

GROUPING OF CLAIMS

Per 37 CFR § 1.192(c)(7), for purposes of appeal, the claims grouped together by the Examiner in each of the three separate grounds of rejection as set forth above, i.e., claims 1, 4, and 7 as one group, claims 2, 5 and 8 as the second group, and claims 3, 6 and 9 as the third group of claims, stand or fall together and will not be argued separately. Thus, as stated in Rule 192(c)(7), for decision on appeal of each separate ground of rejection as listed above, the Board will select a single claim of the group and decide the appeal on the basis of that claim.

Please charge any fee or credit any overpayment pursuant to
37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, p.c.

By Vincent M. DeLuca

Vincent M. DeLuca
Attorney for Appellants
Registration No. 32,408

1425 K Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 783-6040